THOMAS A. JOHNSON, #119203 1 MONICA A. QUINLAN, #325465 2 Law Office of Thomas A. Johnson 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2560 3 Sacramento, California 95814 4 Telephone: (916) 422-4022 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 Case No.: 2:22-cr-00161-JAM UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 9 Plaintiff, 10 STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS CONFERENCE 11 VS. April 25, 2023 Date: 12 Time: 9:00 a.m. Hon. Judge John A. Mendez Judge: JAMES MECHAM, et al. 13 **Defendants** 14 15 **STIPULATION** 16 Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its attorney of record, Assistant 17 United States Attorney HEIKO COPPOLA, and defendant JAMES MECHAM, both 18 individually and by and through his counsel of record, Thomas A. Johnson and Monica 19 A. Quinlan, defendant KURT STOCKS, both individually and by and through his 20 counsel of record, Malcolm S. Segal, and defendant HEIDI EDWARDS, both 21 individually and by and through her counsel of record, Christina Sinha, hereby stipulate 2.2. as follows: 23 1. By previous order, this matter was set for Status Conference on January 31, 2023. 24 2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the Status Conference to 25 April 25, 2023 at 9:00 a.m, before the Honorable Judge John A. Mendez. 26 3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request the Court find the following: 27

28

- a. A continuance is requested because counsel for all defendants need additional time to review the discovery, conduct investigation, evaluate the case, and discuss a potential resolution.
- b. Counsel for all defendants believe the failure to grant a continuance in this case would deny defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- c. The Government does not object to the continuance.
- d. Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by granting the requested continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- e. For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 United States Code Section 3161(h)(7)(A) within which trial must commence, the time period of January 31, 2023, to April 25, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 United States Code Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv), corresponding to Local Code T-4 because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATE: January 24, 2023

/s/ Thomas A. Johnson THOMAS A. JOHNSON Attorney for James Mecham

28

27

Case 2:22-cr-00161-JAM Document 28 Filed 01/25/23 Page 3 of 3

1	DATE: January 24, 2023	
2		/s/ Monica A. Quinlan MONICA A. QUINLAN
3		Attorney for James Mecham
4		
5	DATED: January 24, 2023	PHILIP A. TALBERT
6		Acting United States Attorney
7		/s/ Heiko Coppola HEIKO COPPOLA
8		Assistant U.S. Attorney
9	DATE: January 24, 2023	
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/s/ Malcolm S. Segal
11		MALCOLM S. SEGAL Attorney for Kurt Stocks
12	DATE: January 24, 2023	•
13	DATE. January 24, 2025	/s/ Christina Sinha
14		CHRISTINA SINHA Attorney for Heidi Edwards
15		Attorney for freidi Edwards
16		
17		
18	<u>o</u>	<u>RDER</u>
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
20	II IS SO ORDERED.	
21		
22	DATE: January 24, 2023	/s/ John A. Mendez
23		THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24		SERVICE CHIED STITLES DISTRICT VODGE
25		
26 27		
28		
40		